

Cross Cutter Checklist

Checklist to be completed and signed by Ecology

FUNDING AGENCY INFORMATION (to be completed by the Ecology Project Manager)			
ECY PROJECT MANAGER:	PHONE #: () -		
ECY FINANCIAL MANAGER:	PROJECT MGR EMAIL:		
ECY PROGRAM:			
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION			
LOAN RECIPIENT:			
SITE NAME(S):			
LOAN NAME:	LOAN NUMBER:		
LOAN RECIPIENT CONTACT INFORMATION			
RECIPIENT CONTACT PERSON (if different	than above):		
ADDRESS:			
CITY, STATE:	PHONE #: () -		
ZIP, COUNTY:	EMAIL:		
Document which cross cutters the project has complied with, type of documentation used to support compliance, and required date(s). <u>Attach appropriate documentation for final approval</u> .			
1. CLEAN AIR ACT – Yes No No Not A	Applicable		
a. The project is located outside of a non-attainment or maintenance area.			
b. The projects emissions are below the de minimis threshold.			
c. The project is exempt from conformity determination.			
d. The project is found to conform to the SIP by the local air authority.			
Date of conformity determination:			
Comments:			
2. COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT ACT	– Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐		
a. The project is located outside of coastal zone county.			
b. The project has received a consistency determination from the SEA Program.			
Date of Consistency Determination			
Comments:			

3. ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT – Yes No Not Applicable			
8	a. The project has a "No Effect" letter from the EPA.		
	Date of "No Effect" letter:		
ł	b. Following informal consultation, the project has received letters from NMFS and USFW S concurring with a "Not Likely to Adversely Affect" determination.		
	Date of NMFS concurrence letter:		
	Date of USFWS concurrence letter:		
C	c. Following formal consultation, the project has received a Biological Opinion from NMFS and USFW concluding that the project will not jeopardize endangered species.		
	Date of NMFS Biological Opinion:		
	Date of USFWS Biological Opinion:		
Com	nments:		
4. 1	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE – Yes 🗌 No 🗍 Not Applicable 🗍		
8	a. The project has no environmental or disproportionately distributed effects to minority, low income, or tribal populations in the project area.		
ł	b. The assistance recipient provided meaningful opportunity for public comment on the consequences of the project for local groups, with special outreach efforts focused on minority, low-income, and tribal communities.		
(c. The recipient has included mitigation measures to address disproportionate environmental or human health impacts that would be caused by the project.		
Comments:			
5. I	FARMLAND PROTECTION POLICY ACT – Yes No Not Applicable		
8	a. The project does not convert farmland to nonfarm uses.		
ł	b. The project receives a determination from the National Resource Conservation Service that the project does not adversely affect important farmlands.		
	Date of determination:		
Comments:			

6. FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT – Yes No Not Applicable
a. The project is located outside of the 100 year floodplain.
b. The project has incorporated measures to minimize the risk of flood damage and the assistance recipient provided meaningful opportunity for public comment on the projects effects on the flood plain.
Date of Floodplain or Shoreline Management Permit:
Comments:
7. NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT – Yes No Not Applicable
a. This funded project will not involve any ground disturbing activities or structures greater than 50 years old (planning only) Future ground disturbance will require an amendment to this Section 106 Consultation.
b. Section 106 Consultation process initiated
Date State DAHP response received:
 Date(s) affected tribe(s) response(s) received:
Date other interested parties response received:
c. Section 106 Consultation completed
Date of Ecology Final Determination letter:
d. Project required an MOA between State DAHP and relevant tribes to protect affected historic properties.
• Date of MOA:
Comments:
8. SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT – Yes No Not Applicable
a. The project is located outside of a sole source drinking aquifer recharge area.
b. The project does not have a potential to affect ground water supplies.
c. The project has incorporated mitigating measures to protect groundwater supplies to the satisfaction of local authorities or the DOH, Ecology and EPA Region 10 Sole Source Program.
Date of concurrence letter:
Comments:

9.	ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT – Yes/No/Not Applicable
a.	The project has a "No Adverse Effect" letter from the EPA.
	Date of letter:
b.	Following consultation with NMFS, the project incorporates EFH conservation recommendations.
c.	Following consultation with NMFS, the project will not incorporate EFH conservation recommendations . Explain why.
Co	omments:
10.	WETLAND PROTECTION – Yes No Not Applicable
a.	The project is not located in, nor will it affect a wetland.
b.	The project has incorporated measures to minimize the impacts to wetlands acceptable to the Ecology SEA Program and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the assistance recipient provided meaningful opportunity for public comment on the projects effects on the wetlands.
c.	Recipient has provided a wetlands assessment and final mitigation plan.
Co	omments:
11.	WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT – Yes No Not Applicable
a.	The project is not located adjacent to the drainage basin or within the corridor of a designated Wild and Scenic River.
b.	The project does not have a direct and adverse effect on a designated or study river.
c.	The project has incorporated measures to minimize the impacts to the wild and scenic river acceptable to the adjacent land management agency.
	Date of concurrence letter:
Cor	mments:

Notes:	
Checklist prepared by:	
Ecology Regional Project Manager	Date
Checklist reviewed by:	
Ecology Environmental Review Coordinator	Date